

The Original Globe Reporter

Our Mother Mary

“Do whatever He tells you”



Volume 2 Number 11 A Respect-Life Newsletter November 2009

“God knows we’re trying”



Our Lady of Holy Souls



Christianity: An Archaic Superstition?

By Eugene A. Santoro
August 27, 2003

Wednesday August 27, 2003, the day the word of the Judicial Court was placed above the Word of God. A 5.3 thousand-pound granite block with a carved image of the bible displaying the Ten Commandments was removed from the Judicial Tundra in Montgomery, Alabama. The reason for the removal was a bitter dispute over the constitutional separation of church and state.

It reminds me of the student who stretched his neck to see the answers on your test sheet then took credit for the results. It also is analogous to removing the foundation from under a building and then expecting the building to remain standing. What arrogance to believe that this country, or any country, can continue to exist without the help of God.

We have seen what happens when God is ignored; we see it in our: govern-

ment, churches, sports, schools, marriages, entertainment, etc. Our government is choosing sides. Some politicians have chosen the love of money over the love of God. Some clergy have chosen the desire for lust over the desire to serve God. A few gifted athletes have thrown their gifts into the face of God in order to live a carefree life filled with money and sex. Our schools have eliminated prayer and added sex education to the curriculum; now some of our children know less, or next to nothing, about talking to God; but they know about various sexual techniques, diseases, preferences, and the laws pertaining to abortion. Marriage is not a covenant between a man and a woman; it has become a license for temporary lust. When it doesn't feel good any more find another partner. The latest thing is the trend toward marriage of a man with a man or a woman with a woman; does it matter? What ever happened to "Go forth and multiply." One plus one is two, but with this combination we

will never reach three! Entertainment has become synonymous with four letter words, violence, scaring people to the brink of a breakdown, nudity, pornography, graphic torture, murder, and disregard for people's religion and sacred beliefs. It is entertainment when you defy God and a comedy when you praise Him.

There is not enough room to cover all of the categories that have aligned themselves with Satan. The further we get from God the closer we get to that building with no foundation. We are slowly becoming a nation closer to the cities of Sodom and Gomorrah. Just as Lot saved the angels from the people of Sodom a lone politician has put his God first and tried to save the Ten Commandments. Our politicians are so hungry for power some have already sold their souls. Dissident groups, the disloyal and the faithless have infiltrated some of our churches. Our sports programs have shifted from the love of the game to the love of the gain. Schools

are now combat zones in disguise. Whispering, gum chewing and note passing have become rudeness, drugs and a list of victims slated for death. Marriage is supposed to be a union between a man and a woman, two people raising a family, and dedicated to spending a lifetime together through the good times and the bad. Nowhere in the Bible does it approve of same gender marriage or same gender sex. I think the worst group of all is the entertainment group and that includes the press. Entertainment has changed from a good acting job or a funny comedian to a naked scene and a gross disrespectful clown.

There is a children's fantasyland that every athlete wants to visit after he or she wins some championship game. It is a world of fairy tales come to life. What we don't know is the connection this "fantasyland" has with the evil going on in this world. This company uses the money from admissions and sales to support the making of films which de-

grade Christ and the Blessed Mother. They also support Planned Parenthood, an organization that condones abortion. How can a company like this support the killing of infants when they will need them as customers later on? I personally do not support this fantasyland. Remember the animated cartoon Pinocchio, where the little boys were brought to this fantasyland by stagecoach, and they began to play games, go on rides, drink, and smoke cigars? They were drugged and slowly became an animal, first the tail, then the ears? Well, I don't want to become one of those animals.

Remember when the Globe, Post, Traveler and other Boston newspapers were family newspapers? We used to see papers like the Midtown Journal and the Inquirer and called them scandal sheets. The Post has long gone but some of the other newspapers have become scandal sheets. People of the press have been caught lying and making-up stories and also plagiarizing other peoples

work. I know this article is very negative, and it makes me sad to have to write it, but we are a very quiet majority. I felt the need to sit down and convey my feelings to you; you won't see any of this in the scandal sheets.

If you're with God, you're in balance because He is with you. It's time to clean our Father's house of the moneychangers and the solicitors. Make your vote count, not just in number, but in integrity as well. This is our country and our church, don't look the other way; don't give them away.

Pro-Abortion Group NARAL Attacks Pro-Life Democrat Bart Stupak on Health Care

by Steven Ertelt
LifeNews.com Editor
October 20, 2009

Washington, DC (LifeNews.com) -- Abortion advocates normally reserve their ammunition for attacks on pro-life Republicans and groups. They rarely focus their

sights on pro-life Democrats, but they're now going after Rep. Bart Stupak of Michigan because he is pressing for a vote to make sure the House health care bill doesn't fund abortions.

Stupak is leading the battle in Congress on HR 3200 and against the Capps Amendment that pro-abortion lawmakers added to deceive the public into thinking abortions will not be funded.

Because of his stalwart stance, NARAL has produced a new video attacking him that its president Nancy Keenan mailed to pro-abortion activists today.

"I'll be honest -- we all expected a fight from the usual anti-choice agitators on health-care reform," she said. "We expected it from Rep. Michele Bachmann, Rep. John Boehner (R-OH), the Family Research Council, and the American Life League." She accused Stupak of "carrying the right wing's water on this issue."

"Stupak is ready to jeopardize the entire health-care reform bill to stand between women and their

doctors," Keenan claims. "He's willing to undermine health-care reform -- blocking the landmark bill from even coming to a vote -- in order to impose an abortion ban on women in the reformed health system. His proposal would take away coverage from women who already have it," she says, even though the latter claim is not the case.

"We've made it through five congressional committees, but if we can't beat Rep. Stupak's sneaky road block on the House floor, it will all be for nothing," Keenan complains. "I can't overstate the consequences of what anti-choice Rep. Stupak is trying to pull. We must win this one."

What Keenan is "trying to pull" is to merely get the ability to have a vote on his amendment to prevent HR 3200 from financing abortions with taxpayer funds.

Stupak has said if the House Rules Committee or House Speaker Nancy Pelosi prevent him from getting a vote, he and a coalition of pro-life and moderate Democrats will join

Republicans in voting against the rules for debate on the health care bill -- which would ultimately prevent a vote on the bill itself. "There are many of us Democrats in the House who are philosophically, legally, and morally opposed to public funding for abortions," Stupak said earlier this month.

"If our amendment is not made in order we will try to shut down the rule, preventing the health care bill from coming to the floor for a vote," Stupak added. "We want the chance to offer our amendment, the Hyde Amendment, on the floor of the House."

The Hyde amendment does not apply to the health care bills -- they are guided by the Capps Amendment, that opens the door to abortion funding.

"If the Speaker believes that abortion funding is not in the bill then she should let me have my amendment, because if anything it would just be redundant," he said.

Stupak's amendment, which he is co-sponsoring with pro-life Republican Rep. Joe Pitts of Pennsylvania, reads: "No funds au-

thorized under this Act (or an amendment by this Act) may be used to pay for any abortion or to cover any part of the costs of any health plan that includes coverage of abortion."

Previously, Stupak challenged President Barack Obama to urged Pelosi and House Democrats to allow a vote on his amendment to stop abortion funding. The challenge came after the two spoke over the phone and Obama said he would leave it to the House to decide.

Stupak has met with Pelosi and she didn't give him any promise she would allow a vote. A top Democratic aide made it appear the rules for debate that must be adopted before the House can discuss the health care bill likely won't allow a vote. Meanwhile, pro-life advocates are concerned about a possible amendment from pro-abortion Rep. Henry Waxman of California that would claim to ban abortion funding but merely use accounting gimmicks to hide it.

Assisted Suicide Advocates Seek to Euthanize The Rule of Law in Connecticut by Wesley J. Smith

October 20, 2009

LifeNews.com Note: Wesley J. Smith is a Senior Fellow in Bioethics and Human Rights for the Discovery Institute and an attorney for the International Task Force on Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide. He authors a popular blog, Secondhand Smoke, that details bioethics issues.



When is suicide, not really suicide? When assisted suicide advocates decide that promoting their agenda requires the deconstruction of accurate and descriptive language.

It is one thing when ideo-

logical activists try to re-define terms to win a political debate. It is quite another when a judge does it by judicial fiat.

But that is precisely what may happen in Connecticut. After advocates failed to legalize assisted suicide in the last legislative session, two Connecticut physicians -- aided by the assisted suicide advocacy group Compassion and Choices (formerly Hemlock Society) -- filed a lawsuit requesting a court order unilaterally changing the definition of suicide.

When a doctor lethally prescribes an overdose of drugs to a terminally ill patient for the purpose of self killing, the lawsuit claims, it should not be legally considered a suicide, but rather, "aid in dying."

The term "aid in dying" was coined by Compassion and Choices several years ago as a euphemistic advocacy tool to better promote its death agenda. Opinion polls clearly demonstrate that the public

reacts negatively to the terms “suicide” and “assisted suicide.” But if the same act is camouflaged by a soothing sounding term that masks the harshness of what is being done, public opposition softens.

Hence, the group’s “Language Matters Press Kit” asserts that it is wrong to call self killing by a terminally ill patient with the assistance of a doctor “suicide” because the word “is politicized language that implies a value judgment and carries with it a social stigma.”

Never mind that it is accurate. The dictionary definition of “suicide” is “the act or an instance of taking one’s own life voluntarily and intentionally.” And forget for the moment that fear of stigma can save lives. C & C is blatantly promoting a postmodern word engineering scheme that would sacrifice accurate and precise legal lexicon on the altar of emotional personal narratives.

Lest you think such sub-

terfuge cannot succeed, it already has. Under Washington State’s newly legalize assisted suicide regime, participating doctors are legally required to lie on the death certificate by listing the cause of death as the underlying disease rather than the prescribed suicide drug overdose.

In Connecticut, advocates seek to impose a similar redefinition, thus effectively overturning the law that punishes one who “intentionally causes or aids another person, other than force, duress or deception, to commit suicide” as second degree manslaughter.

In other words, the suit seeks to legalize some assisted suicides by the simple expedient of having them declared not suicides. As Compassion and Choice’s attorney, Kathryn Tucker told the Hartford Courant, “Obviously, the crux of this case is what is suicide and what is aid in dying.”

Consider the surrealistic

possibilities: If the lawsuit succeeded and I gave a terminally ill friend in Connecticut an overdose with which to intentionally end his life, it would remain a crime.

But if my friend consulted a doctor he doesn't know who is affiliated with Compassion and Choices to obtain the overdose—as happens with most assisted suicides in Oregon—it would merely be legal “aid in dying”—this, even though the act, the motive, and the lethal consequence would be precisely the same in each instance. That's not only nuts, it is blatantly Orwellian.

It is also dangerous beyond the issue of assisted suicide. The United States, we are often told, is a nation of laws and not of men. If we are to be governed by the rule of law, words have to matter and definitions must be capable of being relied upon.

But if a commonly understood term can simply be

tossed out in order to legalize what the people's elected representatives made a crime, why couldn't a judge similarly criminalize an otherwise legal act via the same sleight of hand machination? Indeed, should judges decide they can unilaterally change the rules by simply redefining terms, what law could permanently be relied upon?

The case should be a slam-dunk, the lawsuit thrown forcefully out of court. But the way things are in the courts today, you never know what will happen. In this sense, the assisted suicide lawsuit in Connecticut not only threatens to remove a vital legal protection from vulnerable patients, it is a lethal threat to the rule of law itself.

Missouri Voters Can Sign Petitions to Vote on Abortion, Stem Cell Research in 2010

Jefferson City, MO



current federal law prohibiting direct abortion funding. The panel also [rejected a second pro-life amendment](#) that would have offered protection for medical workers who don't want to participate in or refer for abortions. A third amendment [to stop rationing](#) also went down in defeat. The finance panel is the last of five Congressional committees -- two in the Senate and three in the

(LifeNews.com) – With approval from the Missouri Secretary of State's office this week, pro-life advocates who are supporting ballot measures on abortion and stem cell research can move ahead to gather signatures. They need signatures from enough state residents to be able to get the amendments on the 2010 ballot. The first initiative, called the Missouri Taxpayer Protection Amendment, is submitted by a pro-life group named Missouri Roundtable For Life. The amendment would stop the state from using taxpayer dollars to finance

abortion and human cloning. It "protects Missourian's hard-earned tax dollars" from being used for abortions not medically necessary to save the life of the mother, abortion services, human cloning and other controversial human research." The second petition, filed by Gregory Thompson, would change the constitutional definition of "person" to be "from the beginning of biological development." The personhood amendment would likely challenge *Roe v. Wade* and make abortion and bioethics practices like human cloning and embryonic stem cell research illegal. It would almost certainly be challenged by abortion advocates in court.

Catholic University of San Francisco Hosts Head of Pro-Abortion Amnesty International



San Francisco, CA (LifeNews.com) – The University of San Francisco, a Catholic educational institution, will host an event with the head of Amnesty International, even though the human rights group changed its position in 2007 to support abortions. The move is coming under fire from a pro-life group that monitors Catholic colleges. On October 27, the Lane Center for Catholic Studies and Social Research at the Jesuit university will host a book signing and panel discussion featuring Irene Khan. She has been the secretary general of Amnesty International and it was under Khan's leadership that the organization changed its official position on abortion from neutrality to support for abortion. Patrick Reilly, the president of the Cardinal Newman Society, condemned the decision. "The Catholic Church has made it abundantly clear that the decision of Amnesty International to support abortion is a direct betrayal of its own mission in service of human rights,"

he told LifeNews.com today. "How sad it is that USF is degrading its Catholic identity by giving a platform to the head of this once-admirable, but now morally compromised organization."

Puns

Two peanuts walk into a bar. One was asalted.

A jumper cable walks into a bar. The barman says, "I'll serve you, but don't start anything."

A sandwich walks into a bar. The barman says, "Sorry we don't serve food in here."

A dyslexic man walks into a bra.

A man walks into a bar with a slab of asphalt under his arm and says, "A beer please, and one for the road."

Two antennas meet on a roof, fall in love and get married. The ceremony wasn't much, but the reception was great.

Two cannibals are eating a clown. One says to the

other, "Does this taste funny to you?"

Man: "Doc, I can't stop singing 'The green, green grass of home.'"

Doc: "That sounds like Tom Jones syndrome."

Man: "Is it common?"

Doc: "It's not unusual."

Pro-Life Group Pans Baucus Bill, Launches New Ad on Abortion and Health Care

Washington, DC

(LifeNews.com) -- The national pro-life group Americans United for Life is panning the passage of the Baucus health care bill, the fifth piece of so-called reform legislation that promotes abortion. AUL is also preparing to launch tomorrow a new online ad that focuses on health care and abortion funding. A Senate committee [passed the Baucus bill](#) today on a largely partisan vote. Charmaine Yoest, the president of AUL, told LifeNews.com that the panel "joined the four other committees with jurisdiction over health care reform in reporting out a bill that does not include

explicit language excluding abortion funding and coverage." "In fact, the Baucus bill explicitly includes abortion funding and coverage," she said. "History has shown that unless legislation relating to health care reform includes language that expressly excludes abortion, courts and administrative agencies will interpret the legislation as including abortion."

Nuns at a Football Game

Sitting behind a couple of nuns at the football game, three men decided to badger the nuns in an effort to get them to move. In a very loud voice, the first guy said, "I think I'm going to move to Utah, there are only 100 Catholics living there." The second guy spoke up and said, "I want to go to Montana, there are only 50 Catholics living there." The third guy spoke up and said, "I want to go to Idaho, there are only 25 Catholics living there." One of the nuns turned around, looked at the men, and calmly said, "Why don't you all go to hell, there aren't any living there."